

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 21230 of 2013
Date of Decision: 05.12.2016

RAJ KUMAR

... Petitioner

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. A.K. Walia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Pathania, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, Advocate,
for the respondent No. 3

KULDIP SINGH, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has invoked the writ jurisdiction under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to release the pension, arrears and other retiral benefits to the petitioner alongwith interest.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner retired as a Sweeper from the Municipal Council, Bhudlada, District Mansa on 30.06.2011, on attaining the age of 60 years. After his retirement, his retiral benefits were withheld. With regard to the pension, learned counsel for the petitioner, prays to withdraw the petition with liberty to make an appropriate representation to claim the pension, before the concerned authorities.

With regard to the DCRG, leave encashment, and GPF, it comes out that though the petitioner has retired on 30.06.2011, the retiral benefits were released much later in the year 2013 and the DCRG was paid in

CWP No. 21230 of 2013

installments. Therefore, now, the prayer of the petitioner is only for payment of interest on the delayed payment of retiral benefits.

In view of the matter, respondent No. 3 is ordered to pay the interest @ 9% per annum on the delayed payment of DCRG, leave encashment and GPF to the petitioner, starting three months after the date of the retirement of the petitioner till actual payment is made.

In view of the above, the present petition stands partly allowed.

December 5, 2016

Suresh Kumar

**[KULDIP SINGH]
JUDGE**

	✓		
<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>/</i>	<i>No</i>
			✓
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>/</i>	<i>No</i>