

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.17007 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 14.12.2016.

Harbinder Singh Baidwan Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondents.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.S SARON
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE LISA GILL**

Present: Mr. Harbinder Singh Baidwan, petitioner in person.

Mr. P.P.S. Thethi, Additional Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondents.

S.S SARON, J.

CM No. 16048 of 2016

Copy of judgment passed in Charanjit Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others CRM-M No. 41977 of 2015 on 08.11.2016 (Annexure P-14); Dr. Swaminathan report (Annexure P-15) and complaint (Annexure P-16) attached with the civil miscellaneous application are taken on record subject to just exceptions.

Civil miscellaneous application stands disposed of.

CWP No. 17007 of 2016

The civil writ petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking quashing of letter dated 23.07.2007 (Annexure P-1), issued by the Punjab Government, Vigilance Department (Budget and Administrative Branch) with respect to complaints relating to Panchayats. In terms of the said letter, it has been mentioned that the Department of Rural Development and Panchayat should initially conduct an enquiry and in case any person is found liable then the Vigilance Department is to take action.

According to the petitioner, who is appearing in person, the said letter dated 23.07.2007 (Annexure P-1) has resulted in corruption and the Panchayat lands are being misused. The grants received from the Government are also mis-utilized but no action is being taken by the Vigilance Department, in view of the said letter.

The petitioner, who is appearing in person submits that in view of the order passed today by this Court in the case titled H.C. Arora, Advocate Vs. State of Punjab and others, CWP No. 22426 of 2016, the present petition may be disposed of in terms of the said order; however, liberty may be given to him to pursue his other remedies regarding allegation of corruption, in accordance with law.

The writ petition is accordingly, disposed of in terms of the order passed today in H.C. Arora's case (Supra); however, liberty is given to the petitioner to pursue other remedies, in accordance with law.

(S.S SARON)
JUDGE

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

14.12.2016

PA

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.

Whether reportable : Yes/No.