

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 16953 of 2016
Date of Decision : 18.10.2016**

A.K. Singh Suryavansh

...Petitioner

Versus

**National Institute of Food Technology Entrepreneurship &
Management and others**

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. R.D. Anand, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Sinha, Advocate for
Mr. R.V. Sinha, Advocate for the respondents.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

The petitioner has challenged the inquiry report dated 22.07.2016 (Annexure P-15).

Learned counsel appearing for the respondents has submitted that in pursuance to the afore-noted inquiry report, the petitioner has been removed from service, vide order dated 05.09.2016 and vide order dated 22.09.2016 the period of petitioner's suspension has not been treated as spent on duty. Copies of the orders dated 05.09.2016 and 22.09.2016, which have been furnished by learned counsel for the respondents in the course of hearing, are taken on record. Copies of the same have also been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner. Learned counsel for the

respondents further states that the petitioner has also been handed over a copy of the inquiry report in pursuance to the previous order of this Court.

Therefore, as the petitioner has been removed from service in pursuance to the inquiry report, this petition would not require any adjudication and stands disposed of as infructuous.

It shall, of course, be open to the petitioner, if so advised, to challenge the orders passed by the respondents in accordance with law.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

October 18, 2016.

kanchan

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned?

√
Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No