

CWP No. 17813 of 2015
240

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 17813 of 2015
Date of Decision: 22.07.2016

MANJIT SINGH AND ORS

... Petitioners

VS.

PEPSU ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Charu Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Sharma, Advocate,
for the respondent.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

KULDIP SINGH (J.)

The short point raised in the present petition is that the petitioners have retired from different posts on various dates in the year 2011 and 2012. Their short grouse is that although they were paid retiral benefits but since, the retiral benefits were paid with delay, therefore, they claim interest on the same.

Admittedly, after the retirement, retiral benefits should be paid to the employees within a reasonable time, which is found to be two months. Therefore, if the payment of retiral benefits is made beyond two months, the employee is entitled for interest on the same.

The respondent does not claim that any departmental enquiry is pending or any notice was issued to the petitioners to the petitioners.

CWP No. 17813 of 2015

It being so, the petition is allowed and the respondent is ordered to pay the interest @ 9% of the retiral benefits to the petitioners two months from the date of her retirement till the payments were actually made.

The petition is allowed accordingly.

July 22, 2016

Suresh Kumar

**[KULDIP SINGH]
JUDGE**