

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 16838 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 22.08.2016.

Anoop Singh

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

Counsel would submit that the petitioner was appointed on a Class-IV post vide appointment letter dated 27.9.1995 (Annexure P-1) issued by the Sub Divisional Education Officer, Bhiwani.

Grievance raised in the instant petition is that while considering the cases of promotion from amongst Class-IV employees to the post of Clerk, a number of employees, who were junior to the petitioner and have been appointed after 27.9.1995, their cases have been considered and they have even been promoted.

Argument raised is that action of the respondent authorities in denying to the petitioner consideration for promotion to a Class-III post inspite of being senior is wholly unjust and violative of Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India.

Without going into the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the present writ petition with a direction to respondent no.2 to consider the claim and grievance of the petitioner and to take a final decision on the legal notice dated 15.6.2016 (Annexure P-6) strictly in accordance with law and by passing a speaking order within a period of six months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Petition disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

22.08.2016

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No