

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 17802 of 2015

Date of Decision: 03.08.2016

VED PARKASH BAHATIA AND OTHERS

... Petitioners

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. I.D. Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajat Bansal, AAG, Punjab.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since, all the retiral benefits have been released to him, therefore, the present writ petition has become infructuous. He further prays for withdrawal of the present petition.

In view of the request of learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn as having been rendered infructuous.

June 3, 2016

Suresh Kumar

**[KULDIP SINGH]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No