

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Writ Petition No. 16801 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 20.12.2016**

Navdeep Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Malhar Singh Dhami, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Dr. Puneet Kaur Sekhon, Additional Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondents/State.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner-Navdeep Singh had appeared in the written test for the two posts of Labour Enforcement Grade-I (Technical) in the category of Ex-Serviceman (ESM) alongwith 45 other candidates. The petitioner apart from being an ESM, also belongs to the Backward Class category.

The grievance of the petitioner is that the result of the petitioner was not being declared on the premise that there was no post reserved for ESM (BC Category).

It was contended that the petitioner is atleast entitled for a fair consideration against two posts of ESM (General Category).

Upon notice, a reply contesting the claim of the petitioner has been filed. However, at the time of hearing, learned State Counsel has placed on record a memo dated 19.12.2016 addressed by the Subordinate Services Selection Board, Punjab to the Labour Department, recommending

the case of the petitioner for appointment based on his merit secured against aforesaid two posts advertised in the category of ESM. She, thus, submits that the present petition has become infructuous.

In view of above, learned counsel for the petitioner concedes that the present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

December 20, 2016

'dk kamra'

**(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No