

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.17762 of 2015

Date of Decision:-19.01.2016.

Kanta Rani

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Lalit Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K.K. Gupta, Additional A.G. Punjab.

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

The Director, Colonization, Punjab has filed a short affidavit stating *inter alia* that in view of the factors briefly noticed in para 3 of the affidavit, the communication dated 6.7.2015 issued to the petitioner has been withdrawn. The subsequent notice, if any, issued to the same effect is also taken to have been withdrawn. Resultantly, the writ petition has been rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of with a direction that if any follow up action like entering of mutation etc. is required to be taken, let the needful be done within a period of three months.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

January 19, 2016.

sandeep sethi