Sanjiv Kumar Sharma
2016.08.19 16:39
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 16788 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 19.8.2016

Bhola Singh Chahal ..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and another ..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. A.K. Walia, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court is of the view that the petitioner is impugning the findings in the inquiry, for which the record is required to be examined and the evidence is required to be led.

In these circumstances, the petitioner is relegated to avail the remedy before the Civil Court. The matter cannot be decided in the exercise of writ jurisdiction.

Disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

19.8.2016
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No