

Gurpreet Kaur
2016.11.21 12:54
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Date of Decision: 17.11.2016

Versus

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Mr. L.S. Virk, Addl. A.G., Punjab
for the respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of reply in ***C.M. No.12792 of 2016*** in ***CWP No.15094 of 2016***, nothing remains in this petition as in para No.8 of the reply, it has been mentioned that it has been decided to continue with the process of appointment of remaining candidates and no appointment has been finalized as yet. The matter with regard to issue of 'offer of appointment' to eight more candidates including the petitioner, next in the combined merit list is under consideration of the Government. Learned counsel also submits that the names of the petitioners are within eight candidates.

The present petition is disposed of.

In case, the petitioners are still aggrieved in any manner, they are at liberty to move application for revival of the order.

17.11.2016
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
---------------------------	-----

Whether Reportable	No
--------------------	----