

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P No. 2085 of 2013

DATE OF DECISION: 29.07.2016

Gurpreet Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

Punjab School Education Board and another

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. PK Goklaney, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Shekhar Verma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner undertakes not to claim anything in case fresh appointment is given to her.

Learned counsel for respondent No.1 on instructions from Mr. Shiv Kumar, Deputy Secretary Accounts submits that respondent-Department has decided to give fresh appointment to the petitioner.

Necessary action be taken within a period of one month.

It is also made clear that respondent No.2 shall not be effected in any manner in view of undertaking given by the petitioner.

In view of the above, nothing survives in the petition and the same is disposed of accordingly.

July 29, 2016
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No