

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16563-2016

Date of decision: 17.08.2016

Kharati Lal Sachdeva

.....Petitioner

versus

Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kuldeep Singh

Present: Mr. Parveen Kumar Rohilla, Advocate for the petitioner

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldeep Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioner retired from service on 31.3.1998. The prayer of the petitioner is for stepping up of his pay equal to respondent No.5 w.e.f. 4.3.1982. The petitioner claims that he has filed a representation and the reply under the RTI Act, 2005 dated 24.7.2012 shows that the case is under process since the year 2012.

I am of the view that there is huge delay and laches on the part of the petitioner in approaching this Court. However, the present writ petition is disposed of without issuing notice to the respondents with a direction to the respondents to process the case of the petitioner expeditiously and decide the same within three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

17.08.2016

gk

**(Kuldeep Singh)
Judge**

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes/ No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No