

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16544-2016

Date of decision: 17.08.2016

Raj Bala

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kuldeep Singh

Present: Mr. Robin Singh Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?0

Kuldeep Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that transfer of the petitioner to CHC Salmar Majra, Sonapat has been cancelled vide order dated 30.7.2016 (Annexure P7). Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is suffering from epilepsy and should be posted near Sonapat.

I am of the considered view that it is a matter of transfer and it is the prerogative of the Government. This Court, while exercising writ jurisdiction cannot interfere to direct the transfer from one place to another or order the transfer to a particular place unless any mala fide are alleged. However, if the petitioner is aggrieved of the order of cancellation of her transfer, she can always represent to the Government putting forward her case and it is for the Government to take a decision in that regard.

The writ petition is disposed of with above noted observations.

17.08.2016

gk

**(Kuldeep Singh)
Judge**

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes/ No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No