

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

1. Civil Writ Petition No.16535 of 2016
Date of decision: 06.12.2016

Pooja Rani and others ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

2. Civil Writ Petition No.17434 of 2016

Nisha Rani and others ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, Advocate for the petitioners
(in both the petitions).
Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana
for respondents no.1 and 3.
Mr. D.K.Khanna, Advocate for respondent no.2.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioners concedes that both the writ petitions have been rendered infructuous since all the petitioners except petitioner no.14-Renu in CWP No.16535 of 2016 and petitioner no.1-Nisha Rani in CWP No.17434 of 2016 have been given benefit of question No.123 of Set-A in the subject of Computer Science as per opinion of the subject experts. Qua the aforesaid petitioners, since they had already been given marks for the aforesaid question, therefore, no further relief can be granted to them.

Accordingly, both the writ petitions are dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

December 06, 2016
Pka

(G.S.SANDHAWALIA)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No

Pardeep Kumar
2016.12.06 16:44
I attest to the accuracy and integrity
of this document
Punjab and Haryana High Court,
Chandigarh