

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 17458 of 2015
Date of Decision: 16.02.2016**

Balkar Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present:- Mr. K.R. Dhawan, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Nikhil K. Chopra, DAG, Punjab,
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Parveen Chander Goyal, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

Mr. Parveen Chander Goyal, Advocate appears for respondent No.4 and makes his statement that the entire payment has been made to the petitioner, as claimed in the petition. However, the late deposit of Provident Fund amount will earn statutory interest from the date it was due till it was paid. In case there is any statutory interest fixed in the department then interest will accrue at 12% on the remaining amount, which 3% of which would be chargeable as penal in nature for delay in payment of money due and illegally withheld.

Disposed of accordingly.

16.02.2016

Dinesh

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**