

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-16448-2016

Date of decision: 16.08.2016

Ram Nath Khurana

..... Petitioner

Versus

Authorized Officer, UCO Bank and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN**

PRESENT: Mr. Abhinay Goel, Advocate for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has challenged the possession notice dated 28.06.2016 (Annexure P-5) and public notice for e-auction dated 22.07.2016 (Annexure P-6) published in newspaper 'The Tribune' dated 22.07.2016.
2. It was not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner that after the remand of the case by the Debts Recovery Appellate Tribunal, none had appeared before the Debts Recovery Tribunal (DRT) on 27.08.2015, when the case was dismissed for non-prosecution. An application bearing M.A. No. 40/2015 dated 01.10.2015 for restoration of the case is pending before DRT for 26.08.2016.
3. In such a situation, no ground to entertain the instant writ petition is made out.
4. Dismissed.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

August 16, 2016
rishu

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No