

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.20605 of 2013

Date of decision: 17.03.2016

Hardeep Kumar

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

RITU BAHRI, J.

The petitioner, was appointed on contract basis for 6 months, is seeking direction to the respondents not to terminate his services. Further direction is sought to be issued to the respondents to extend the tenure of the petitioner as Clerk in view of the letter (Annexure P-5) issued by respondent No.4.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Mr. Naresh Kumar, DTP, Mahindergarh, has informed that no extension has been granted to one Driver and two Helpers (Sewadar), who were appointed for six months along with the petitioner as per order/letter dated 12.02.2013 (Annexure P-3).

As per the written statement filed by the District Town Planner, letter placed on record by the petitioner as Annexure P-5 was maneuvered and it was never dispatched to the Head Office for seeking sanction of extension of services of the petitioner. This letter was got sanctioned from the District Town Planner in a routine manner fraudulently.

Once the services of other employees have not been extended,

no case for extension of services of the petitioner pursuant to Annexure P-3 is made out.

Dismissed.

However, in case respondents intend to fill up this post on contract basis, the petitioner can make a representation in that regard.

17.03.2016
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE