

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.17294 of 2015  
Date of Decision:19.02.2016

Sharanjot Singh and others

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA**

Present: Mr. Ranjivan Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vaibhav Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)**

Learned State Counsel very fairly concedes that this matter is covered by the decision of this Court in *Harbans Lal v. State of Punjab and Ors.* as affirmed by the Supreme Court in order dated November 4, 2015 passed in Review Petition (C) No.2038 of 2013 in Special Leave Petition (C) No.23578 of 2012 titled *State of Punjab and Ors. v. Harbans Lal*. The petitioners are similarly situated persons and deserve parity of treatment and therefore, this petition is allowed in terms of the decision in *Harbans Lal's* case (supra).

As a consequence, the respondent-State is directed to allot GPF account numbers to the petitioners and to migrate the CPF money into their GPF accounts.

Let the formalities involved be completed within two

months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order and law declared by the Court will give full effect to act in aid of the Supreme Court.

Since this matter has been decided on the question of law, the prayer of Mr. Vaibhav Sharma, DAG, Punjab is accepted that in case there are any gray areas left with request to facts the State would be at liberty to file an application in the disposed of matter for proper directions as may become necessary.

The petition stands allowed.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)**  
**JUDGE**

**19.02.2016**  
*M.Negi*