

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.16294 of 2016
Date of decision:11.8.2016**

Jagat Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr.Kunal Dawar, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court by way of instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that when the genuine grievance of the petitioner was not being redressed, he finally approached the respondent authorities, by way of representation dated 4.7.2016 (Annexure P-9), but the same is also pending decision. He also submits that keeping in view the slight change in the circumstances

, petitioner may be permitted to supplement his representation by moving a comprehensive representation within a period of two weeks from today and respondent No.2 may be directed to consider and decide the same, within a reasonable time.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Director General of Police, Haryana-respondent No.2, that if petitioner approaches him by moving an appropriate representation within a period of two weeks from today, he shall consider and decide the same at an early date, by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law, but in any case within a period of three months from the date of receipt of representation from the petitioner.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the instant writ petition stands disposed of.

**11.8.2016
mks**

**(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No