

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.16240 of 2016
Date of decision:11.8.2016**

Chattar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr.Harinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court by way of instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is seeking strict compliance of the orders dated 15.11.2013 (Annexure P-2) and 26.5.2014 (Annexure P-3), passed by the respondent Nos.2 and 3, respectively, by way of writ of mandamus. However, he fairly concedes that before filing the present writ petition, petitioner did not approach respondent No.2, seeking strict implementation of Annexures P-2 and P-3, by moving an appropriate application or representation. He further submits that given an opportunity, petitioner shall approach the District Magistrate-cum-Deputy Commissioner, Mansa-respondent No.2 by moving an appropriate representation within a period of 3 weeks from today and respondent No.2 may be directed to look into the matter to ensure strict compliance of the orders Annexures P-2 and P-3.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, the instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to District Magistrate-cum-Deputy Commissioner, Mansa-respondent No.2, that if the petitioner approaches him by moving an appropriate representation within a period of three weeks from today, he shall consider and decide the same at an early date, by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law, but in any case within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of representation from

the petitioner. It is expected from respondent No.2 that he will appreciate the difficulty being faced by the petitioner, who is a senior citizen of more than 70 years. Respondent No.2 shall also be at liberty to pass an interim order, if he deems it appropriate in the facts and circumstances of the case.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the instant writ petition stands disposed of.

11.8.2016
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No