

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.1622 of 2016

Date of Decision:-27.01.2016.

Mustina

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. S.K. Bawa, Advocate for the petitioner.

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner, respondent Nos.5 and 6 contested the election of Sarpanch of Village Khawajlikalan, Tehsil Punhana, District Mewat. The fifth respondent has been declared elected. The petitioner alleges that there was bungling in the counting of Booth No.104 against which she has filed some objections. She also applied for re-counting of votes. As her request was not adhered to, the instant writ petition has been filed.

It is not in dispute that election petition is maintainable wherein the petitioner can, besides other prayers, seek recount of votes as well. With liberty aforementioned, the petition is dismissed.

However, in case, the petitioner files an election petition, we direct the Tribunal to decide the same expeditiously and preferably within six months.

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

January 27, 2016.

sandeep sethi