

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 16212 of 2016
Decided on : 29.08.2016**

M/s Hari Om Industries

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN**

PRESENT: Mr. Chetan Mittal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Pankaj Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The prayer in this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for quashing the award of tender in favour of respondent No.4 despite the petitioner being the highest bidder.

2. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner states that liberty be granted to the petitioner to file a detailed and comprehensive representation to respondent No.3 within a period of one week. However, a prayer was made that a time bound direction be issued to the concerned respondent to decide the same expeditiously.

3. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, perusing the present petition and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the controversy, the writ petition is disposed of by observing that in case such a representation is filed by the petitioner within a period of seven days from today, then the same shall be decided by respondent No.3 by passing a speaking order and after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, in accordance with law, within a period of next one week from its filing.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

August 29, 2016

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No