

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 16196 of 2016 (O&M)  
Date of decision: September 24, 2016

**Amrik Singh**

**.. Petitioner**

**v.**

**Union of India and others**

**.. Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sachit Kumar Sahijpal, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

Rajesh Bindal J.

Prayer in the present petition is for setting aside the communication dated 1.7.2016 directing transfer of investigation in ECIR/05/CDZO/2013 from Chandigarh Unit to Headquarters Investigation Unit.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, who is complainant in some connected matter, while challenging the aforesaid communication, submitted that no reasons have been assigned in the order while transferring investigation from Chandigarh Unit to Headquarters Investigation Unit. He referred to the earlier order passed by this court in CWP No. 17460 of 2015

21.4.2016, where this court had given certain comprehensive directions. The submission is that those are sought to be violated. With the transfer of investigation, in fact the officers, who were conducting the investigation, have been transferred. The conduct of the person who, according to the petitioner, has been given charge of investigation, is also sought to be pointed out.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, we do not find any merit in the present petition. Earlier the matter came up before this Court in Jaskaran Grewal's case (supra), where the writ petition was disposed of declining the prayer for monitoring the investigation and further observing that the investigating agency would carry out investigation in reasonable time in fair, impartial and un-biased manner. At present, by an administrative order, the investigation has been transferred from Chandigarh Unit to Headquarters Investigation Unit and the present Investigating Officer has also been directed to be associated. By filing the petition, in fact, the effort of the petitioner is to get the investigation monitored by this court, which prayer has already been declined.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)  
Judge

(Darshan Singh)  
Judge

September 24, 2016  
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No  
Whether reportable: Yes/No

