

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.16188 of 2016.

Date of Decision: August 11, 2016

Mehar Singh and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA.

Present: Mr.Dilbagh Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

-. -

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The writ petition is based upon selective information and for the reasons best known to the petitioners, most of the material facts have not been brought on record.

Be that as it may, it is claimed that the petitioners are not aware of acquisition of their property or the compensation amount as well as damages to which they are entitled to. A part-payment is claimed to have been offered to them. All these factual issues have been raised by the petitioners in their legal notice dated 19.07.2013 (P-4).

We, therefore, instead of going into the realm of factual issues, dispose of this writ petition with a direction to respondent Nos.2 & 3 to verify the correct facts as contained in the above-stated legal notice and decide the same by way of a reasoned order, within a period of four months

from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.

Ordered accordingly.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

August 11, 2016
mohinder

[SUDIP AHLUWALIA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No