

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.16184 of 2016.

Date of Decision: August 11, 2016

Ram Lal and others

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA.**

Present: Mr.Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioners.

-. -

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have, while invoking Section 24(2) of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013, made vague and evasive averments with regard to “retention of possession” and “non-receipt of compensation” for their acquired land. The petitioners are also said to have made representations including dated 14.05.2015 (P-10) for the release of their acquired land measuring 30 kanal 2 marlas. It appears that no final decision has been taken by the Competent Authority on such representations.

While it is not expedient for this Court to express any opinion on merits for want of relevant material on record and it is difficult for want of sufficient material to infer that a case under Section 24(2) of the 2013 Act is made out, we deem it appropriate to dispose of this writ petition with

in direction to the respondents to ascertain the correct facts and decide the

representations said to have been made by the petitioners, as per Government Policy, by passing a speaking order within a period of four months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.

Ordered accordingly.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

August 11, 2016
mohinder

[SUDIP AHLUWALIA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No