

119

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.1617 of 2016

Date of decision: January 27, 2016

Sudeep

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Karan Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SABINA, J

Petitioner has filed this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ in the nature of certiorari challenging intimation Annexure P-2, whereby, petitioner was informed that his candidature had been rejected and he had been permanently debarred from taking any examination to be conducted in future by Indian Railways.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for redressal of his grievance, petitioner had served notice Annexure P-3 on respondent No.2, but no action has been taken on the same so far.

Accordingly, without advertng to the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of, with a direction to respondent No.2 to dispose of the notice Annexure P-3 served by the petitioner expeditiously, preferably within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

January 27, 2016
mahavir