

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.16096 of 2016
Decided on : 19.08.2016**

Harminder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Punjab University & others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present : Mr.B.P.S.Virk, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Indresh Goel, Advocate, for the respondent-University.

Mr.S.S.Chandumajra, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks quashing of the impugned clause of the Brochure wherein 2% reservation was provided only for sons/daughters/husband/wife/brothers/sisters of person killed/incapacitated in terrorist violence in Punjab & Chandigarh and not for grandsons.

Petitioner is seeking admission in the Computer Science Engineering course of B.E. 4 years. It is his pleaded case that after passing the Senior School Secondary Examination from the CBSE on 21.05.2016, the petitioner has sought admission on the strength of his ranking in the Joint Entrance Examination (Main) 2016, whereby he has got a rank of 354424 (Annexure P3). It is his grouse that his grandfather, namely, Hardyal Singh Rajla was victim of a terrorist strike and was killed on 14.05.1990, when a terrorist strike was made on Sardar Gurcharan Singh Tohra, then President of S.G.P.C. As noticed, challenge has been raised on the ground that the grandsons were not included in the said category.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16096-2016

-2-

Reference has been made to the Syndicate's decision dated 24.06.2016 (Annexure P8) whereby it was resolved that the provisions made in the Syndicate's decision dated 18.10.2015 had included the grandsons and grand-daughters and effect had to be given from academic session 2016-17. An affidavit to this effect has also been filed by the Registrar, Panjab University, Chandigarh (CWP-14211-2016 titled *Jashan Chandel Vs. Panjab University, Chandigarh & others*) wherein the Syndicate's proceedings have been placed on record as Annexure R2 (Colly.), giving the benefit to the grandsons and grand-daughters.

Resultantly, keeping in view the stand taken, counsel for the respondent-University does not and is in no position to object to the claim for admission.

Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of, with a direction to consider the case of the petitioner, as per the decision of the Syndicate itself. Needful be done within a period of one week from the receipt of the certified copy of this order.

AUGUST 19th, 2016
sailesh

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No