

**128 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.15934 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : August 08, 2016**

Ankan Saini

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. C.S. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The grievance of the petitioner is that in the passport, his place of birth is mentioned as Shimla, whereas he claims that he born at Yamunanagar on the basis of birth certificate (Annexure P-2).

In these circumstances, let the petitioner approach the passport authorities with an appropriate application in proper proforma accompanied by supportive documents.

Let the passport authority pass an order on the said application. If the request of the petitioner is not acceded to by the passport authorities, then the petitioner can approach this Court.

Disposed of.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

August 08, 2016

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned No

Whether Reportable: No