

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.16855 of 2015
Date of Decision:- 10.05.2016**

Lalita Kumari

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

**Present: Mr. A.G.S. Dhillon, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is aggrieved of the conditions put in the advertisement No.4/2015 dated 28.06.2015 of Haryana Staff Selection Commission (Annexure P-1) whereby online applications are invited for direct recruitment for the Post Graduate Teachers PGT (Group B) Services under department of Secondary Education Haryana. One of the condition is that candidate should have consistent good academic record and explained in Note-2 of the advertisement, which reads as under: -

“Note 2. Consistent good academic record implying that out of the lower qualification i.e. Matric/10+2/Graduation than requisite minimum qualification, one must secure at least 50% marks in two lower exams and 45% marks in third lower exams. If there are only two lower exams, than one must secure at least 50% marks in one exam and 45% marks in another.”

This condition came up for consideration before the Division Bench of this Court in case bearing CWP No.19747 of 2014 titled Rakesh Kumar and another Vs. State of Haryana, decided on 21.03.2016 whereby an affidavit of M.L. Kaushik, Director, Secondary Education, Haryana, Panchkula dated 20.03.2016, was filed and it has been stated therein that clause in the advertisement requiring “consistent good academic record” has been substituted with a new provision defining the term as “good academic record”. With the change in the conditions laid down in the advertisement, the petition was disposed of as having rendered infructuous.

In view of above, since the condition itself is now substituted, so, the present writ petition is disposed of in view of the above-said judgment of this Court in **Rakesh Kumar's case (supra)**.

May 10, 2016
naresh.k

(**RITU BAHRI**)
JUDGE