

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

CWP No.15821 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision:05.08.2016

Shashi Bhardwaj & others

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. Naveen Sheokand, Advocate for the petitioners.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

The petitioners, who are four in number claim that they were engaged as Extension Lecturers to teach in the respondent/Government College, Bahadurgarh, State of Haryana in different subjects in the year 2015 purely on contractual basis.

Grievance raised in the petition is that after completion of their contractual engagement which expired in April, 2016, no fresh agreement on contractual basis has been entered into.

Counsel would refer to an advertisement placed on record at Annexure P-1 issued by the respondent/College, whereby eligible candidates possessing the requisite qualifications have been called upon to apply and to be engaged as Extension Lecturers in various subjects. It has been argued that on the strength of advertisement at Annexure P-1, the petitioners would now be replaced by another similar arrangement and which is not permissible in law.

This Court is not inclined to interfere and to issue any directions at this stage. This is for the reason that the counsel himself has

informed the Court that even though in the advertisement, the date of

interview has been stipulated as 19.07.2016 but till date no interview has been conducted. Counsel further submits that no further date has also been notified.

As such, the apprehension of the petitioners that they would be replaced by another similar arrangement is ill-founded at this stage.

Petition is disposed of accordingly.

05.08.2016

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|-----|
| i) | Whether reasoned/speaking? | Yes |
| ii) | Whether Reportable? | No |