

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.1582 of 2016

Date of decision: 25.01.2016

Rajesh Garg and another

...Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Ms. Anita Balyan, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RITU BAHRI, J.

The petitioners are aggrieved against the seniority list dated 09.06.2015 (Annexure P-5) for the post of Kanungo, wherein they have been placed junior to respondent No.3.

Precise grievance of the petitioners is that respondent No.3 is not entitled to be placed. In the seniority list of patwaris at district level, respondent No.3 was placed at serial No.59, whereas petitioner Nos. 1 and 2 were at serial Nos. 56 and 58 respectively. However, after promotion to the post of Kanungo, the petitioners have been placed at serial Nos.16 and 17 respectively, whereas Ashok-respondent No.3 has been placed at serial No.15 i.e. above the petitioners. In fact, his name should have been figured below the names of the petitioners. In this regard, the petitioners have made a representation dated 15.06.2015 (Annexure P-6) to Collector-cum-Deputy Commissioner, Rohtak-respondent No.2, but to no avail.

At this stage, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of by giving a direction to respondent No.2-

District Collector, Rohtak to look into the representation dated 15.06.2015 (Annexure P-6) and pass an appropriate order in accordance with law expeditiously.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

25.01.2016
ajp