

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.16662 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 11.5.2016.

Capt. Kshitij Sharma and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Shranav Katyal, Advocate for
Mr. Manhar S. Saini, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. V.K. Kaushal, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. J.S. Puri, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.

Since the petitioners' services have been terminated/discharged during the probation period, question of seeking regularization of the petitioners' services do not arise. Reserving liberty to the petitioners to approach appropriate authority/Forum as and when cause of action arises, with the above observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

May 11, 2016.

sandeep sethi