

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.19812 of 2013

Date of Decision:- 25.10.2016.

Ramesh Chander

.....Petitioner

Versus

Corporation Bank and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Virender Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate for the respondents.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner's candidature to the post of Clerk in the Corporation Bank has not been considered under Ex-Serviceman category on the score that he is stated to have availed the benefit of Ex-Serviceman and he was appointed in Naval Dockyard, Vishakapatnam as Syrang Lascar (Boat Crew) w.e.f. 20.4.2005. The respondents-Bank have made effort to obtain necessary information from the Naval Dockyard Vishakapatnam. However, the same has not been furnished. Therefore, the claim of the petitioner to appoint him as a Clerk against Ex-Serviceman quota is pending consideration. In view of these facts and circumstances, the Admiral Superintendent, Naval Dockyard, Vishakapatnam – 14 is directed to furnish the information sought by the respondents-Corporation vide communication Reference No.HRM/IRW/2998/2013-14 dated 24.3.2014. If the information is that the petitioner was appointed in Naval Dockyard against

Ex-Serviceman quota or not is furnished, then the Corporation Bank would proceed to either appoint or reject the claim of the petitioner. The Admiral Superintendent, Naval Dockyard, Vishakapatnam is directed to furnish information to the Corporation Bank within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. Thereafter, the Corporation Bank is directed to take necessary action within a period of two months and communicate the same to the petitioner.

Petition stands disposed of.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

October 25, 2016.

sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.