

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.15530 of 2016
Date of decision:9.9.2016

Rajesh Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

Registrar (Recruitment) Punjab
and Haryana High Court

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Sumeet Singh Deol, Advocate, for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Sindhu, Advocate,
for the respondent.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

Heard counsel. Mr. M.S. Sindhu, learned counsel appearing for the respondents and has produced the provisional merit-wise select list of candidates for the posts of Driver on the establishment of the Punjab and Haryana High Court. The same is taken on record as mark 'A'. The driving test stands conducted. This Court in the advertisement inviting applications from eligible candidates was not looking for heavy vehicle drivers. The argument based on the provisions of the transport Vehicles Act, 1988 is misconceived and a misreading of the light transport vehicle driving licences as mentioned in the employment notice as essential qualifications in the recruitment drive. The advertised qualification/experience were as follows:-

“Qualification / Experience:-

2. The appellant should possess following

qualifications:-

(i). The applicant should at least be middle pass with Punjabi/Hindi as one of the subjects.

(ii). He should possess a valid licence of L.T.V.

(iii). He should not have been convicted of any offence for negligent driving and should have a minimum of two years experience of driving of car.

A valid LTV licence means and includes LMV/Trans, LTV/Trans, LMV (Commerical), LMV CAB.

Applicant must possess requisite qualification(s) as on 30.4.2016.”

The terms and conditions of the advertisement are binding in nature and have to be strictly followed in making the selection without any departure permitted from the specifications.

The petition has no merit and which has also been rendered academic and is hereby dismissed.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

9.09.2016
monika

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*

Whether reportable *No*