

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 15521 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 03.08.2016.

Surender Kumar

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Atul Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

Counsel has adverted to memo dated 11.2.2016 (Annexure P-1) issued from the office of the General Manager, Haryana Roadways, City Bus Service, Faridabad to contend that in pursuance to a selection process conducted upon issuance of advertisement dated 17.9.2015, the petitioner was offered engagement as Heavy Passenger Transport Vehicle Driver as per outsourcing policy at a consolidated fixed salary of Rs.12,000/- per month.

Grievance raised in the present petition is that inspite of such selection and offer of engagement, the petitioner has not been permitted to join till date.

Counsel for the petitioner has been heard.

It is by now well settled that mere selection does not vest an indefeasible right to appointment. Be that as it may, it would be certainly obligatory upon the respondent authorities to disclose to the petitioner the basis, if any, if appointment/engagement is not to follow in pursuance to the memo dated 11.2.2016 at Annexure P-1.

I deem it appropriate to dispose of the present petition in terms of granting liberty to the petitioner to approach respondent no.3 as regards his claim and grievance. In the eventuality of any representation being preferred on the strength of memo dated 11.2.2016 (Annexure P-1), respondent no.3 would be obligated to examine the matter and to take a final view thereupon expeditiously.

Petition disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

03.08.2016
lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No