

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.22824 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 11.4.2016

Gurnam Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Ms.Harmanpreet Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr.Nikhil K.Chopra, DAG, Punjab

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has relied on the decision in CWP No.18584 of 2012 (Kewal Krishan vs. State of Punjab and others) in the index of this petition. In the said writ petition, on 6.5.2014, learned Single Judge of this Court has passed the following order:-

“Challenge in the present writ petition is to the order dated 5.9.2012 (Annexure P-9), whereby without issuing any notice or affording any opportunity of hearing, revised pay scale already granted to the petitioner has been withdrawn and a recovery of Rs.2,61,104/- has been calculated against the petitioner.

A bunch of writ petitions came up for hearing before this court on 24.3.2014. The same were disposed of vide judgment passed in CWP No. 15744 of 2005 – Karnail Singh vs State of Punjab and others in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the State that the cases of the petitioners therein will be considered in terms of the instructions issued by the Government on 5.10.2012 pertaining to recovery of the amount allegedly excess paid to them as well as any judgment delivered by Hon'ble the Supreme Court thereafter.

Learned counsel for the parties are agreed that the present petition be also disposed of in terms of the order passed in Karnail Singh's case (supra).

Ordered, accordingly.”

Nothing further remains to be considered in this case on the declaration given by the petitioner while relying on the above noted writ petition.

This petition is disposed of in the same terms.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

11.4.2016
MFK