

CWP- 15457-2016

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP- 15457-2016
DATE OF DECISION:29.11.2016**

SUPRIYA SAINI

... Petitioner

V.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Vijay Pal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ashok Arora, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is stated to be working as Extension Lecturer in Government College for Women, Bhodia Khera, Fatehabad. The details of the period of her service as Extension Lecturer and qualification are mentioned in para No.3 of the petition.

It is the case of the petitioner that she deserves to continue as Extension Lecturer till regular appointments are made by the respondents and she should not to be relieved during summer/winter/examination period. The petitioner has sought further direction that she be granted ₹ 1000/- per period subject to a maximum of ₹ 25,000/- per month from the date of her initial appointment.

Issue notice to the respondents.

CWP- 15457-2016

At the asking of Court, Mr. Harish Rathi, Senior DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Learned counsel for the petitioner has furnished four sets of the paper book to the learned State counsel.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the judgment of a coordinate Bench of this Court in the case of **Ms. Menka and others v. State of Haryana and others CWP No. 9300 of 2015** decided on **5.5.2016**.

Learned State counsel contends that a coordinate Bench of this Court has issued directions pertaining to similarly situated persons while deciding **CWP No. 16975 of 2014**, in the case of **Mrs. Rita Tandon v. State of Haryana and others** decided on **29.7.2016** while relying upon the judgment in the case of **Ms. Menka and others v. State of Haryana and others (supra)**.

At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner would be satisfied if the petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner in the light of the judgments in the cases of **Ms. Menka and others v. State of Haryana and others (supra)** and **Mrs. Rita Tandon v. State of Haryana and others (supra)** in a time bound manner.

Therefore, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to treat the writ petition as a representation of the petitioner and consider and decide the same by passing a speaking order, keeping in view the judgments in the cases of **Ms. Menka and others v. State of Haryana and others (supra)** and **Mrs. Rita Tandon v. State of Haryana**

CWP- 15457-2016

and others (supra), within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

November 29, 2016

SwarnjitS

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Note:

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No