

261-8

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.16414 of 2015

Date of decision: 18.01.2016

Savita Kumari and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Jitender Malik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioners seek consideration of their candidature for appointment as Lecturer in Hindi (School Cadre). Since they had been declared ineligible, they have filed the present petition challenging such action on the part of the State.

At the time of issuing notice of motion, this Court had provisionally allowed the petitioners to take part in the selection process. It was further directed that the results of the petitioners shall not be declared till further orders by this Court.

On the last date, I had directed the State to produce the result of the petitioners in a sealed cover. The same has been done, on the opening of which, it is found that petitioner No.1 had secured 108 marks, petitioner No.2 secured 126 marks and petitioner No.3 secured 36 marks. Learned State counsel informs

the Court that the last person selected and appointed in the General category i.e. the category to which petitioners No.1 and 2 belong, has secured 132 marks whereas the last person selected and appointed in the Backward Class category i.e. the category to which the petitioner No.3 belongs, has secured 138 marks.

In view of the aforesaid facts, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the present petition.

Dismissed as not pressed.

The result of the petitioners be re-sealed and given back to the learned State counsel.

(Deepak Sibal)
Judge

18.01.2016
anju rani