

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.205

**CWP No.19573 of 2013
Date of decision:13.05.2016**

Ram Chander

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. K.S. Banyana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Senior DAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

A perusal of order dated 05.09.2013 shows that in this case notice of motion had been issued only qua the quantum of punishment meted out to the petitioner.

As per the order impugned before me, the petitioner has been inflicted the punishment of stoppage of two future annual increments with permanent effect.

The question to be determined is whether such punishment meted out to the petitioner for the proven acts of omission and commission on his part shocks my conscious warranting any interference on my part.

A few facts may be noticed.

The petitioner, who served the Haryana Police as an Exemptee Assistant Sub Inspector was charge-sheeted for having submitted a false report in a Court with regard to service of summons. In the departmental

enquiry which ensued he was found guilty on basis of which through order dated 06.08.2012 he was inflicted the punishment of stoppage of three future annual increments with permanent effect. On appeal while upholding the guilt of the petitioner, the only interference was to the extent of reduction of the punishment meted out to the petitioner from stoppage of three future annual increments with permanent effect to stoppage of two future annual increments with permanent effect.

For having submitted a false report in the Court on the issue of service of summons, an act which was duly enquired into and proved punishment of stoppage two future annual increments with permanent effect does not shock my conscious warranting any interference. Rather I find that the same commensurates with the established guilt of the petitioner as it is not expected from a member of the disciplinary force to which the petitioner belongs, to furnish any false report anywhere much less to be filed in a Court of law.

In view of the above, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is ordered to be dismissed.

No costs.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

May 13, 2016
Jyoti 1