

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.202

**CWP No.3054 of 2011
Date of decision: 10.02.2016**

Suresh Kumar

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. R.K. Handa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

So far as the challenge to the order of compulsory retirement is concerned, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the retiral benefits which were paid to the petitioner in the year 2013, some amount out of the same still remains unpaid. He prays for liberty to approach the respondents for grant of unpaid dues, if any, with regard to the petitioner's retiral benefits.

Dismissed as not pressed with liberty as prayed for.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

February 10, 2016

Jyoti 1