

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.1631 of 2015

Date of Decision: 26.05.2016

Shankutla Devi

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA**

Present: Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nikhil K.Chopra, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Mr. P.C. Goyal, Advocate,  
for respondents No.4 & 5.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.**

During the pendency of this petition, dues have been paid and the claim is for interest on delayed payments. Payments have to be made by respondents No.4 & 5.

Since the delay is writ large in making payments of terminal dues to the petitioner, therefore, she has right to interest on delayed payments which is her right to property protected by Article 300 A of our Constitution.

Consequently, the writ petition is allowed. Respondents No.4 & 5 are directed to pay interest on the delayed payments at the rate fixed by Nationalized Banks on Fixed Deposit Receipts which is available on the date of actual payment. The amount of interest be computed and paid within six weeks from the date of supply of certified copy of this order.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)  
JUDGE**

**26.05.2016**

manju