

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16305-2015

Date of decision:- 15.03.2016

Shakti Talwar

...Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Financial Corporation

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present:- Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Advocate, for the respondent.

* * * *

S.J. VAZIFDAR, A.C.J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has sought a writ of certiorari to quash a notice dated 07.07.2015 by which the respondent-corporation has demanded a sum of Rs.89,52,073/-. This relief cannot be entertained in this writ petition. Mere demand does not warrant any interference. If the respondent takes action pursuant to a demand for the purpose of enforcement or the recovery thereof, the petitioner is always at liberty to defend the same. Moreover, in the present case, the petitioner had in fact filed several proceedings including civil suits seeking permanent injunction as well as declaration to the effect that the respondent is not entitled to levy interest as proposed. There were other civil suits challenging previous notices of demand which have been dismissed and regular second appeal has also been dismissed.

2. The only relief that the petitioner is entitled to press in this writ petition is that an order directing the respondents to consider his case as per the policy for compromise settlement of Chronic Non-Performing Assets (Doubtful-Loan Accounts) of Haryana Financial Corporation, 2011, be passed.

3. The respondent states that this policy is going to end on 31.03.2016. The respondent further states that in the event of an application being made under the policy, the same would be considered in accordance with the terms therewith.

The petition is disposed of by accepting the statement on behalf of the respondent.

(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

15.03.2016
ravinder