

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 19454 of 2013

Date of decision: 24.2.2016

Lakhwinder Singh & ors.

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & ors.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. SK Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Inqulab Nagpal, AAG, Punjab.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

Learned State Counsel very fairly concedes that this matter is covered by the decision of this Court in Harbans Lal v. State of Punjab and ors. as affirmed by the Supreme Court in order dated November 4, 2015 passed in Review Petition (C) No.2038 of 2013 in Special Leave Petition (C) No.23578 of 2012 titled as 'State of Punjab and ors. v. Harbans Lal'. The petitioners are similarly situated persons and deserve parity of treatment and therefore, this petition is allowed in terms of the decision in Harbans Lal's case (supra).

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

24.2.2016
monika