

**116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.15242 of 2016

Date of decision : August 01, 2016

Mukesh Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Suri, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks quashing of charge-sheet dated 28.05.2015 (Annexure P-11) and order dated 30.03.2016 (Annexure P-12) passed by the Managing Director, Haryana Agro-Industries Corporation Ltd., whereby the Inquiry Officer was appointed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner pleads that on the day of retirement of the petitioner, a charge-sheet was served upon him and the Inquiry Officer was appointed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to the previous inquiries into the complaints, in which he was exonerated.

However, it is not denying fact that previous inquiries were the preliminary inquiries not the regular inquiries. Now, the respondents have decided to hold regular inquiry and a charge-sheeted has been served upon the petitioner and Inquiry Officer has been appointed.

At this stage, this Court does not fit it appropriate to interfere in the regular inquiry being conducted by the Department and the order of appointment of the Inquiry Officer.

As such, the present petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

August 01, 2016

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No