

**115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.15236 of 2016

Date of decision : August 01, 2016

Tarsem Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ram Kumar Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that vide order dated 12.09.2013 (Annexure P-3), whereby punishment order was passed by the Joint Secretary, Technical-1, Punjab State Power Corporation Limited, imposing 5% cut from the pension of the petitioner and quashing of the inquiry and charge-sheet.

However, it comes out that the appeal against the said punishment order, pending before respondent No.1, Chairman of PSPCL, which has not been decided despite lapse of nearly three years.

In the circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to dispose of the appeal within one month from the date of receipt of the copy of this order. In case, any adverse order is passed, the petitioner is at liberty to approach this Court.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

August 01, 2016

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

No

Whether Reportable:

No