

Sr. No.119+283

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CM No. 14802 of 2016 in/and

CWP No. 1523 of 2016

Date of decision:22.11.2016

Samar Main

.....Petitioner

versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr. Bhriugu Dutt Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Indresh Goel, Advocate
for respondents No. 1 and 2.

Mr. H.P.S.Bhinder, Advocate
for respondents No. 3 and 4.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J.(Oral)

Notice in the application.

At this stage, Shri Indresh Goel and Shri H.P.S.Bhinder, Advocate who are present in Court accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1, 2 and 3,4 respectively.

It is submitted in the application that since a compromise has been arrived at between the parties about the guardianship of the petitioner, therefore, the main petition may be disposed of with a direction to respondents No. 1 and 2 to reconsider the application for issuance of passport in terms of the compromise between the parties about the guardianship.

Counsel for the respondents has submitted that the passport authorities shall consider the request of the petitioner, provided they file a fresh application to consider the application already filed in view of the

**CM No. 14802 of 2016 in/and
CWP No. 1523 of 2016**

-2-

compromise between the parties.

In view of the respective stand taken by the counsel, this application is hereby disposed of alongwith the main petition with a direction to respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to consider the application filed by the petitioner for issuance of passport in view of application filed by the petitioner alongwith a copy of compromise by which issue of legal guardianship has been decided. Needful shall be done within a period of two weeks after the receipt of application and the compromise from the petitioner.

22nd November, 2016

Shivani Kaushik

**[Rakesh Kumar Jain]
Judge**

Whether Speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No