

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO-M-237-2008

Date of Decision: 26.12.2016

RAMESH CHAND

.....Appellant

Vs

SUSHILA

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIVE BHALLA.
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL.**

Present: None for the appellant.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJIVE BHALLA, J.(Oral)

The appellant challenges judgment dated 31.07.2008, dismissing his petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 for restitution of conjugal rights.

The appeal has been pending for the last eight years.

As reported by the Registry, the appellant had not taken adequate steps to serve the respondent. A last opportunity was granted to the appellant on the last date of hearing but no steps have been taken to serve the respondent.

In view of the above, the appeal is dismissed for non- prosecution.

**[RAJIVE BHALLA]
JUDGE**

**[LISA GILL]
JUDGE**