

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-15118-2016

Date of decision: 29.07.2016

Subhash Chander Dhawan

.....Petitioner

versus

State Bank of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kuldeep Singh

Present: Mr. Parvez Chugh, Advocate for the petitioner

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldeep Singh, J. (Oral)

It comes out that the petitioner has made a representation dated 29.6.2016 (Annexure P1), claiming some retiral benefits. Since the representation is stated to have been made to four different authorities, stationed at different places, same is likely to have been dispatched atleast to some of the authorities and reaching of the said representation and processing of the same is likely to take some time.

Therefore, the present petition is considered pre-mature. The same is accordingly disposed of as pre-mature with liberty to the petitioner to approach this Court after passing of a reasonable time.

29.07.2016

gk

**(Kuldeep Singh)
Judge**

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes/ No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No

