

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.16007 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.07.2016

Babu Ram Saini & ors.

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & ors.

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH**

Present: Mr. Shailendra Jain, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Mannu Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. R.K.S.Brar, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Amar Vivek, Advocate for the respondent-HUDA.

SURYA KANT, J (ORAL).

Mr. R.K.S.Brar, Additional Advocate General, Haryana and Mr. Amar Vivek, counsel for HUDA, jointly state that the authorities are ready to extend the benefit of order dated December 21, 2015, rendered in CWP No.1578 of 2015 (**Ajad Singh Rana & ors. Vs. State of Haryana & ors.**) to the petitioners.

The aforesaid statement is acceptable to learned counsel for the petitioners provided that the names of the petitioners are also included in the very first draw of lots.

Learned counsel for the respondents have no objection for inclusion of names of the petitioners in the same draw of lots, which would be held for the writ petitioners of CWP No.8060 of 2008 and other connected cases like **Ajad Singh Rana's case** (supra), etc.

In this view of the matter, let the petitioners approach the Estate Officer, HUDA, Gurgaon, so that their applications are scrutinised and included in the ensuing draw of lots.

Disposed of.

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE

July 25, 2016
ps

(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE