

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 04.08.2016

CWP No.15044 of 2016

Pritam Singh & others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate, for the petitioners.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

Though Mr. Mahajan satisfied this Court on the issue of maintainability by citing Division Bench judgment in Raj Narayan Yadav Vs. State of Haryana & others, 2008 (1) SCT 756; 2008 (2) RSJ 155 that writ is maintainable against Cooperative Sugar Mills, but there are no pleadings in support of such stand on account of which he prays that he may be allowed to withdraw the instant writ petition with liberty to file a fresh one on the same cause of action to enable him to plead material facts in order to show that Cooperative Sugar Mills qualify as 'State' or 'other authorities', under Articles 12 & 226 of the Constitution of India, amenable to writ jurisdiction.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

04.08.2016
Vimal

**[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No